

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,192	06/30/2005	Pascal Bisson	4590-431	7753	
33308 LOWE HALIP	7590 03/23/200 TMAN & BERNER, LI	EXAMINER			
1700 DIAGON	IAL ROAD, SUITE 30	GODBOLD, DOUGLAS			
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/23/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/541,192	BISSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DOUGLAS C. GODBOLD	2626	

	DOUGLAS C. GODBOLD	2020	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 1009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Au no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWC
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the control of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the control of the proposed amendment (s) in the proposed amendment (s	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:			DTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. \(\subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\text{I} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Patrick N. Edouard/			

Continuation of 3, NOTE: changing data input to basic vocabulary requires further consideration and search,

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that because there is no learning step" in the invention disclosed in the specification of the present invention, the cited prior art fails to teach the indiend invention. However it is noted that this requirement is not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, ilmitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In se Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Further Applicant's arguments seem to amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentable over the prior art of record.